BULLYING BEHAVIOR AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO ACHIEVEMENT MOTIVATION FOR YOUNG FOOTBALL PLAYERS UNDER (19) YEARS OLD

Muwafaq Obayes Khudhair* College of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to identifying the level of bullying behavior young football players under (19) years old, identifying the level of achievement motivation young football players under (19) years old, and identifying the relationship between bullying behavior and achievement motivation young football players under (19) years old. The researcher used the descriptive survey method to suit the nature of the research problem. The research sample was chosen by the intentional method from the young players of the first-class clubs for the season (2021-2022) in football. Their number is (385) players from (11) for the sports season, and the reason for choosing them deliberately was that they represent the best players in the whole province because of their good level of performance, as well as their experience in league tournaments, and this sample constitutes 100% of the research community. One of the most important results reached by the researcher is that: The scale proved its effectiveness in measuring the bullying behavior of the research sample, and achievement motivation has a major role in the success of the scale in choosing appropriate solutions. One of the most important recommendations recommended by the researchers is that: Using the scale to know the levels of bullying behavior of the players and its impact on achievement motivation ,and attention to psychological preparation for young age groups in reducing their level of bullying behavior to qualify them for higher levels in the future.

Keywords: Sports psychology. Sports excersises. Behavior. Motivation. Football players

Introduction

Bullying behavior is a widespread problem in all schools around the world. The incidence of this problem varies from country to country. Studies in England, the United States, Japan, Ireland, Australia, the Netherlands and other countries indicate that the rate of bullying behavior ranges between (15-20%) (Sullivan et al., 2007) The Norwegian scientist Dan Olweus first referred to the term bullying in (1978). The first research on bullying behavior was published in the United States by Dodge in 1990. In Britain, research and studies on the problem of bullying began in 1992, and Rigby is a pioneer in research on bullying behavior in Australia. He published his first research in (1991).

Studies have shown that this behavior increases in the primary stage and reaches its peak in the middle stage (Hussein, 2007), (Oluis, 1993) mentions three patterns or types of bullying behavior: physical bullying, verbal bullying, and social bullying. Physical bullying means harming the victim physically through pushing, beating, and destroying his personal belongings. Verbal bullying refers to the use of words to humiliate or hurt another

Manuscrito recibido: 06/12/2022 Manuscrito aceptado: 21/12/2022

*Corresponding Author: Muwafaq Obayes Khudhair, College of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq

Correo-e: mowafaq.khodair0904@cope.uobaghdad.edu.iq

person's feelings through teasing, name-calling, insults, and threats (Jaradat, 2008), As for social bullying, it means influencing others and forcing them to exclude and reject the victim, in order for him to be socially isolated, and this is done through obscene gestures or social exclusion. There are two other types of bullying that destroy property, such as tearing clothes, destroying books, breaking pens, and so on (Abu Ghazal 2009), Sexual bullying can be physical, verbal, or social, and includes performing certain sexual behaviors such as touching and sexual contact, using obscene sexual names and words, or spreading sexual rumors about a person (Hussein, 2007).

The researchers classify the individuals and adolescents who participate in creating the problem of bullying behavior into three categories: the bullied, the victims. with other individuals, and poor academic achievement (Abu Ghazal, 2010), as for the victims, they are characterized by submissiveness, anxiety, weakness, caution, increased sensitivity, calmness, low self-esteem, lack of popularity, poor social skills, lack of assertive skills (Jaradat, 2008), as for the individuals who are bullied and victims, they are characterized as the most anxious, the least popular, emotionally unstable, easily provoked, and repeatedly provoke others, they have hyperactivity and attention problems and are usually classified as severe-tempered.

There are many reasons for bullying behavior, including personal, family, and school factors. Personal factors relate to the personality of the bullying individual. The bully is characterized as impulsive, reckless, and enthusiastic to a large extent, and the mood plays an important role in bullying behavior, and it is also characterized by emotional imbalance, depression, and frustration. Physical force is a key factor in bullying behavior. Family factors include neglect of care, high levels of family violence, family conflict and turmoil, lack of behavioral controls among family members, and lack of monitoring of children's behavior (Abu Ghazal, 2009).

Research Problem

The end of the twentieth century and the beginning of the twenty-first century witnessed the emergence of an influential problem in contemporary human life and in most of the developed and developing countries of the world, which is the problem of bullying. This indicates the low level of those societies in terms of human, precious, educational, social and other aspects. This problem needs to be faced by the international and local communities and in all educational

and non-educational institutions. However, we find that the proportion of individuals who are empowered is increasing, and thus the number of victim's increases. The impact of bullying behavior lies not only on individuals who are victims of feelings of anger and sadness, withdrawal, bad self-concepts, feelings of inadequacy, depression, and low self-esteem as a result of bullying behavior directed against them. Some of his colleagues on this behavior. Ziegler and Rosenchen (1991) indicated an increase in the prevalence of bullying behavior, as the rate rose from (3%-20%) in schools in some countries. Ziegler and Rosenchen warned of the danger of not facing this problem and accelerating the change of the school environment and transforming it into an environment in which this behavior is completely prohibited (Khouly, 2007).

The researcher felt the existence of the problem of bullying and its impact on the behavior of the adolescent individual, his interaction with his family and school in particular, and his social life in general, by examining some literature and previous studies. The presence of this problem in our stadiums was reinforced by the researcher's visits to a number of clubs in Baghdad governorate, his meeting with a number of members of the training bodies in those stadiums, and inquiring from them about the size of the problem of bullying among the players. In order to ascertain the existence of the problem in the clubs of the Baghdad governorate, the researcher submitted an open survey questionnaire to a number of youth clubs, to get their opinions about the presence of this problem among the players in the governorate. Appendix (2). Some coaches answered that this problem exists in their stadiums to a moderate degree and that it takes many forms and forms, despite the many measures that club managements and members of the training staff resort to in limiting this problem. That is, almost (80%) of their answers confirm the presence of this problem in the players, and some of them answered that this problem decreases in their stadiums. The answers of some coaches reinforced the researcher's conviction of the need to study this psychological-educational problem in the stadiums because it negatively affects the players in the course of the training unit. The problem of bullying, as a behavioral practice by the players, is an old problem, but as a concept it has emerged recently. Many of us can recall some of the incidents of bullying that we were exposed to during our school years. These incidents do not include joking between friends that ends in reconciliation. Astaqwa does not happen between friends. This problem greatly affects the behavior of the players and their academic achievement. In view of the negative psychological, educational and social effects of bullying

behavior on the victims of bullying, it should be emphasized here that if early intervention is not taken to prevent and combat bullying behavior, it increases with the passage of time and soon this behavior turns into criminal behavior when the bullied reaches the age of twenty-four years. This is because bullies have a sense of inferiority and believe that others do not respect them (Hussain, 2007).

Victims of bullying often feel indecisive on the field and suffer from fear, anxiety, loneliness, and low self-esteem. They are likely to try to avoid playing with bullies in the game and avoid social interaction in order to escape bullying. Therefore, their constant exposure to bullying behavior may hinder their emotional, social, and academic development. Accordingly, the problem of "bullying" seems to be a problem worthy of scientific research, and it needs a scientific study.

Based on the above, the problem of the current research is to answer the following main question:

What is the relationship of bullying behavior with achievement motivation among players?

Research objective:

- Identifying the level of bullying behavior young football players under (19) years old.
- Identifying the level of achievement motivation young football players under (19) years old.
- Identifying the relationship between bullying behavior and achievement motivation young football players under (19) years old.

Research hypotheses:

 There are statistically significant hypotheses between bullying behavior and achievement motivation among young soccer players under (19) years old.

Research fields:

- Human field: Youth players for first-class clubs for the season (2021-2022) in football.
- Time field: (15/11/2021) to (17/1/2022)
- Spatial field: The arenas and stadiums of Baghdad clubs for the first degree in football

Research Methodology and Field Procedures

Research methodology

The researcher used the descriptive survey method to suit the nature of the research problem.

Community and sample research:

The research sample was chosen by the intentional method from the young players of the first-class clubs for the season (2021-2022) in football. Their number is (385) players from (11) for the sports season, and the reason for choosing them deliberately was that they represent the best players in the whole province because of their good level of performance, as well as their experience in league tournaments, and this sample constitutes 100% of the research community.

Research devices and tools: The researcher used the following devices and tools:

- Arabic and foreign sources and references.
- Personal interviews.
- Bullying behavior scale.
- Achievement motivation form.
- A legal football field, papers, pens, whistle.
- Data registration form.
- Auxiliary staff (see appendix 3).
- Sharp hand calculator.

Tests Used in the Research

The bullying behavior scale was used to measure the bullying of youth football players for first-class clubs for the season (2021-2022). It was achieved using the methods of discriminatory validity and content validity, and confirmation of the stability of the scale through testing and its repetition, and the alpha

coefficient. The bullying behavior scale consists of (48) statements, and in front of each statement there are three levels of response (always-sometimes-rarely), as the tester puts a mark (Correct) In the field that he deems appropriate for the answer that corresponds with it, the degree of the scale ranges from (1-3) degrees, and the final degree of the scale is the sum of the degrees of all the statements, especially since the maximum scores of the bullying behavior scale, the closer the individual's score is to these limits, the more the degree of the individual approaches these limits. His strength and behavior.

Tests

In order to know the bullying behavior of the players, the scale questionnaire was distributed on the first day to the youth soccer players. A questionnaire for achievement motivation was distributed on the second day to youth soccer players.

Exploratory Experience

In order to identify the most important obstacles and difficulties facing the researcher in the main experiment, and to determine the extent of the efficiency of the auxiliary work team in implementing and managing tests and measurements, the researcher conducted his reconnaissance experiment on Monday and Tuesday corresponding to 11-16/11/2021. To (6) players who were randomly selected from the youth players of the Football Players Club, as the questionnaire forms for the bullying behavior scale were distributed on the first day, and on the second day, the achievement motivation questionnaire was distributed.

Scientific Basis for the Tests Used

Test stability

Despite the bullying behavior scale and the achievement motivation test, the researcher found the scientific bases for the scale of scientific honesty after applying it to (6) players from the players club youth, and to verify the stability of the tests, the researcher used the test method and repeated it on the same previous sample after (7) days had passed. The researcher extracted the correlation coefficient between the bullying behavior scale and achievement motivation. Table 1 shows the values of the reliability and validity coefficient for the bullying behavior scale (Table 1).

Main experience:

The researcher distributed the scale form to the research sample on Saturday corresponding to 11/20/2021. On the second day, Sunday, corresponding to 11/21/2021, the achievement motivation form was distributed under the same conditions as the previous tests. After answering, the data of the scale forms and the achievement motivation form were emptied.

Statistical Methods:

The researcher used the following statistical methods (al-Tikriti and Abd al-Obaidi (1999):

- 1. Arithmetic mean.
- 2. Standard Deviation.
- 3. Rank correlation coefficient (Spearman).
- **4.** The (t) test for the significance of the correlation coefficient.
- **5.** Coefficient of self-honesty.

Results and Discussion

The arithmetic mean, the standard deviation, the hypothetical mean, the calculated (t) value, and the significance of the bullying behavior scale (Table 2).

Discussing the Results of the Research:

The results of the bullying behavior scale showed a value of (72.64) for the arithmetic mean, which is a lower value than the hypothetical mean value of (120) degrees, and this indicates a decrease in the general level of bullying behavior. For young players ages (17-18) years, the researcher attributes this decrease to the rise in the level of achievement motivation, as "the material, moral and social returns are all provided by the institution to achieve degrees of satisfaction for its players according to their efforts in performing their tasks, the most important of which are (pay, incentives, rewards) and the player feels satisfied, which leads to exerting the utmost effort and giving all his efforts in order to satisfy the coach and the audience." (Jawad,2000).

Table 1: Shows the stability and validity coefficient values of the bullying behavior scale.

Scale	Stability coefficient	Validity coefficient	
Bullying behavior scale	0,89	0,94	

Table 2: Shows the arithmetic mean, the standard deviation, the hypothetical mean, the calculated (t) value, and the significance of the bullying behavior scale.

Variable	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	hypothetical mean	T value calculated	Level Sig	Type Sig		
Bullying behavior scale	93.63	11.889	120	65.9	0.000	Sig		
Degree of freedom (385 - 2 = 383). Significant < (0.05).								

Table 3: Shows the value of the correlation coefficient (Pearson) between the bullying behavior scale and achievement motivation.

Variables	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	correlation coefficient	Level Sig	Type Sig	
bullying behavior scale	72.64	11.889	0.984	0.000	Sig	
achievement motivation	14.55	4.781				
Degree of freedom (385 - 2 = 383). Significant < (0.05).						

This decline is also due to the same conditions that fall on all players of the age groups and national teams, in addition to that they are subject to the same laws, regulations, and regulations, and it is consistent with what was proposed by (Ahmed Saqr Ashour), in which he affirms that "the existence of work systems, procedures, and rules Organizing the work and clarifying the actions and their sequence in a way that facilitates the work and does not hinder it. Whenever this policy is characterized by flexibility, integration, stability and the availability of complete information, there is a relative guarantee (Ashour , 2006).

The result of the correlation of bullying behavior scale. With achievement motivation, the result of the simple correlation coefficient (Pearson) appeared with a value of (0.984), and since the value of (.Sig) for the correlation appeared with a value of (0.000), which is smaller than the significance value (0.05), this indicates the emergence of a significant inverse correlation between the bullying behavior measure and achievement motivation The researcher attributes this result to the results of the research sample in the bullying behavior scale. It was good, meaning that the level of bullying was low for the research sample, the results of the sample showed good levels of achievement motivation, and this is evidence that these results are related to the level of bullying behavior.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions:

The search results were the following:

- The scale proved its effectiveness in measuring the bullying behavior of the research sample.
- Achievement motivation has a major role in the success of the scale in choosing appropriate solutions.
- The level of bullying behavior is inversely related to the level of achievement motivation for the sample.
- The sample had a low level of bullying, offset by a good rise in achievement motivation.

Recommendations:

According to the conclusions, the researcher recommended the following:

- Using the scale to know the levels of bullying behavior of the players and its impact on achievement motivation.
- Attention to psychological preparation for young age groups in reducing their level of bullying behavior to qualify them for higher levels in the future

- Carrying out similar studies to standardize bullying in other age groups in football, as well as for other sports.
- Emphasis on trainers in observing bullying behavior and limiting it, and paying attention to achievement motivation due to its importance in developing performance.

References

- Abu Ghazal, Moawiya Mahmoud (2009); Bullying and its relationship to a sense of loneliness and social support, The Jordanian Journal of Educational Sciences, Vol. (5), p. (2) (89-113), Irbid Jordan, p. 90
- Abu Ghazal, Moawiya Mahmoud (2009); Bullying and its relationship to a sense of loneliness and social support, The Jordanian Journal of Educational Sciences, Vol. (5), p. (2) (89-113), Irbid- Jordan, pg.
- Abu Ghazal, Moawiya Mahmoud (2010); Reasons for bullying behavior from the point of view of bullying students and victims, University of Sharjah Journal for Humanities and Social Sciences, Vol.), Irbid - Jordan, p. 276.
- Ahmed Saqr Ashour (2006); Human Behavior of Organizations, (Cairo: Faeq Publishing House), p. 151.
- El-Khouly, Hisham Abdel-Rahman (2007); Studies and Research in Psychology and Mental Health, Dar Al-Wafaa for the World of Printing and Publishing, Alexandria Egypt, pp. 112-113.
- Hussein, Taha Abdel Azim (2007); The Psychology of Domestic and School Violence, New University House, Alexandria Egypt, p. 345.
- Hussein, Taha Abdel Azim (2007); The Psychology of Family and School Violence, New University House, Alexandria Egypt 337-338 p.
- Jaradat, Abdel Karim (2008); Bullying among basic school students, its prevalence and factors associated with it, The Jordanian Journal of Educational Sciences, Vol. (4), p. (2), (109-124), Irbid-Jordan, p.
- Shawqi Naji Jawad (2000); Business Administration from a Holistic Perspective, (Amman: Dar Al-Hamid), p. 231.
- Sullivan, Keith, Mary Clary, Jenny Sullivan (2007); Riotous behavior in secondary schools, what it is and how to manage it, translated by Taha Abdel-Azim Hussein, Dar Al-Fikr, Amman-Jordan, p. 10.
- Wadih Yassin al-Tikriti and Hassan Muhammad Abd al-Obaidi (1999); Statistical applications and computer use in physical education research (Mosul, Dar Al-Kutub for Printing and Publishing), p. 102, 154, 218, 240.

Appendix 1: Bullying behavior scale

No.	Paragraphs	Applies to me	applies to me	never applies
140.	i aragraphs	always	sometimes	to me
1	I pulled one player's hair, causing him pain.	umayo	COMOUNICO	10 1110
2	Rumors and lies were spread about some of the players on the			
-	field.			
3	I deliberately ignored one of the players on the field and did not			
ŭ	speak to him.			
4	You stole a player's property on the field.			
5	You hit a player with objects such as stick, knife, pen,etc.			
6	I called some of the players on the field bad and obscene names			
Ü	and nicknames.			
7	You prevent a player from participating in a social activity with other			
•	players.			
8	I intentionally damaged things belonging to some players.			
9	You force a player to do something he does not want to.			
10	She incited some players to quarrel.			
11	I deliberately refused a player to participate in activities.			
12	I used force against one of the players to tear his bag or anything			
14	that belonged to him.			
13	She pinched one of the players, causing him pain and distress.			
14	You criticized some players on the field in front of others harshly.			
15	I deliberately refused the desire of some players to befriend me.			
16	I intentionally hid things belonging to a player and then destroyed			
10	them.			
17	I made reasons to quarrel with one of the players less powerful			
17	than me.			
18	Mocking jokes were made at some of the players on the field.			
19	She accused a player of actions he did not commit and made some			
19	players hate him.			
20	I defrauded a player, took his money, and then spent it.			
21	You twist one player's arm and shove him into a confined space,			
21	like the corner of the row or under the ride.			
22	I made comments on a player because of (his weight, height, color,			
22	speech, etc.).			
23	I banned a player from playing with my friends and me.			
24	I damaged some things that I borrowed from one of the players.			
25	I slapped one of the players on the field with my hand.			
26	I insulted some of the players with obscene words.			
	. ,			
27	You created strife among the players and made them quarrel with each other.			
28	I hit the spare seat I was sitting on, trying to break it.			
29	I pushed one of the players on the bench and took his place.			
30	Taunting a player and making a fool of him in front of the other			
50	players.			
31	I kicked a player out of the group I'm playing in.			
32	I pulled a player to get the ball.			
33	I blocked a player with my feet when he passed in front of me.			
34	Intentionally revealing a player's personal secrets to other players.			
35	You deliberately moved away from a player and incited others not			
55	to be friends with him.			
36				
36	I ruined some players' clothes by tearing them or throwing them on			
37	the ground. I stood in front of one of the players and forcibly took his place on			
31	i stood in front of one of the players and folcopy took his place on			
	the court.			

39	I deliberately interrupted a player while he was speaking to annoy			
	him.			
40	A player's clothes were torn on the field.			
41	I deliberately threw one of the players to the ground hard.			
42	You deliberately didn't listen to some of the players while they were			
	talking.			
43	I "bitten" a player on the court, trying to hurt him.			
44	It sabotaged friendships between players.			

Appendix 2: Scale (athletic achievement motivation)

No.	Paragraphs	well	not well	Modify
1	Do you take a long time discussing with your colleagues to convince them of			
	your point of view?			
2	Do you like to compete in sports activities that require physical effort?			
3	Do you recognize players who are above your level while playing?			
4	Do you love to compete with powerful sports teams?			
5	Do you feel the passage of time during training?			
6	Do you play violently when you feel the loss?			
7	Do you have a high level of sportsmanship during the game?			
8	Do you fear tough-playing players?			
9	Do you consider winning over the opposing team an inevitable issue?			
10	Can you think of something else when you are on the field?			
11	Do you feel during the competition that you are someone else?			
12	Are you running in the face of the opponent player during the match?			
13	Do you feel the importance of competition when winning?			
14	Can you use new tactics during the game to win?			
15	Do you think winning during the game is essential?			
16	Do you accept the coach's criticism of you during the game or training?			
17	Do you prefer to play in the match that is important to the audience?			
18	Do you think that your poor level during the match does not help you win?			
19	Can you lead your team to victory?			
20	Do you use rough play to win?			
21	Can you focus on the field despite the crowd's comments?			
22	Do you feel proud when you beat the opposing team?			
23	Do you think participating in sports competitions is an interesting hobby for			
	you?			
24	Do you prefer to participate in difficult competitions?			
25	Does participating in the repetitive game improve your performance?			
26	Do you use tactics that harm the match in order to win?			
27	Can you do the difficult tasks during the game?			
28	Do you make every effort to win during competitions?			
29	Do you feel happy when you compete with others?			
30	Is winning in competitions a primary goal for you?			
31	Do you consider winning over the opponent team a personal matter?			
32	Do you prefer to participate with weak sports teams?			
33	Do you give up when your team goes bad while playing?			
34	Do you prefer to show your superiority over the opposing team?			
35	Do you prefer to compete with a team that has players who outperform you?			
36	Do you feel that you perform better in important competitions?			

Appendix 3: Shows the names assistant work team staff names

No.	Scientific title	Name	specialization	Affiliations
1	Assist. Prof.	Imad Kazem	Sports coaching	College of Physical Education and Sports
	Dr.	Khalif	science/football	Sciences / University of Baghdad

2	Hussein Reda	The science of sports training /	Postgraduate/Masters student.
	Abdul Hussein	boxing	